

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	Stakeholder Submission
Type	Web
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	Our Vision
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl and keep land permanently open.</p> <p>This plan include huge building plans on greenbelt land.</p> <p>This is not what the local population wants.</p> <p>It is being forced on us- evidenced by the preparation of powers to enact CPOs and letters from TMBC legal team to land and home owners (section 16) asking for information within 2 week or face unlimited fines or prosecution. What sort of state are we living in here?</p> <p>TMBC first communicated with us by a letter arriving on Xmas Eve 2016, that our and neighbour"s houses would be in the middle of a "garden" village development at Godley Green. Our house was obliterated on their proposal with a "local centre" at the middle of a 2,350 housing development. We are now told we will be surrounded by building of the houses, new roads, schools and shops over a 15 year period. Any so called "consultation" has been TMBC telling us the plans, and no evidence of ANY changes they have made in response to the public outrage.</p> <p>As well as directly effecting our home, this is a precious area of greenery for the local population of Hyde , many of whom have limited access to green space and feel little agency in this area.</p> <p>There is a huge amount of embodied carbon in this plan- this needs quantifying and does not fit with agendas around carbon emissions reduction, climate change etc</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the	<p>Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,...and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations.</p> <p>Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	Our Strategic Objectives
Type	Web
Our strategic objectives - Considering the information provided for our strategic objectives, please tick which of these objectives your written comment refers to:	5. Reduce inequalities and improve prosperity 7. Ensure that districts involved are more resilient and carbon neutral 8. Improve the quality of our natural environment and access to green spaces 10. Promote the health and wellbeing of communities
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	NA
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	NA
Soundness - Effective?	NA
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl and keep land permanently open.</p> <p>This plan include huge building plans on greenbelt land.</p> <p>This is not what the local population wants.</p> <p>It is being forced on us- evidenced by the preparation of powers to enact CPOs and letters from TMBC legal team to land and home owners (section 16) asking for information within 2 week or face unlimited fines or prosecution. What sort of state are we living in here?</p> <p>TMBC first communicated with us by a letter arriving on Xmas Eve 2016, that our and neighbour"s houses would be in the middle of a "garden" village development at Godley Green. Our house was obliterated on their proposal with a "local centre" at the middle of a 2,350 housing development. We are now told we will be surrounded by building of the houses, new roads, schools and shops over a 15 year period. Any so called "consultation" has been TMBC telling us the plans, and no evidence of ANY changes they have made in response to the public outrage.</p> <p>As well as directly effecting our home, this is a precious area of greenery for the local population of Hyde , many of whom have limited access to green space and feel little agency in this area</p> <p>There is a huge amount of embodied carbon in this plan- this needs quantifying and does not fit with agendas around carbon emissions reduction, climate change</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations.</p> <p>Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	<p>Burlinson</p>
<p>Given Name</p>	<p>Sarah</p>
<p>Person ID</p>	<p>1286261</p>
<p>Title</p>	<p>Our Spatial Strategy</p>
<p>Type</p>	<p>Web</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl and keep land permanently open.</p> <p>This plan include huge building plans on greenbelt land.</p> <p>This is not what the local population wants.</p> <p>It is being forced on us- evidenced by the preparation of powers to enact CPOs and letters from TMBC legal team to land and home owners (section 16) asking for information within 2 week or face unlimited fines or prosecution. What sort of state are we living in here?</p> <p>TMBC first communicated with us by a letter arriving on Xmas Eve 2016, that our and neighbour"s houses would be in the middle of a "garden" village development at Godley Green. Our house was obliterated on their proposal with a "local centre" at the middle of a 2,350 housing development. We are now told we will be surrounded by building of the houses, new roads, schools and shops over a 15 year period. Any so called "consultation" has been TMBC telling us the plans, and no evidence of ANY changes they have made in response to the public outrage.</p> <p>As well as directly effecting our home, this is a precious area of greenery for the local population of Hyde , many of whom have limited access to green space and feel little agency in this area</p> <p>There is a huge amount of embodied carbon in this plan- this needs quantifying and does not fit with agendas around carbon emissions reduction, climate change etc</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you</p>	<p>Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure. Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-Strat 10 Manchester Airport
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	We need to reduce air traffic to address climate change issues...and start making ourselves less reliant on air transport- sooner rather than later
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Plan to reduce air travel/ traffic
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-Strat 13 Strategic Green Infrastructure
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl and keep land permanently open.</p> <p>This plan include huge building plans on greenbelt land.</p> <p>This is not what the local population wants.</p> <p>It is being forced on us- evidenced by the preparation of powers to enact CPOs and letters from TMBC legal team to land and home owners (section 16) asking for information within 2 week or face unlimited fines or prosecution. What sort of state are we living in here?</p> <p>TMBC first communicated with us by a letter arriving on Xmas Eve 2016, that our and neighbour"s houses would be in the middle of a "garden" village development at Godley Green. Our house was obliterated on their proposal with a "local centre" at the middle of a 2,350 housing development. We are now told we will be surrounded by building of the houses, new roads, schools and shops over a 15 year period. Any so called "consultation" has been TMBC telling us the plans, and no evidence of ANY changes they have made in response to the public outrage.</p> <p>As well as directly effecting our home, this is a precious area of greenery for the local population of Hyde , many of whom have limited access to green space and feel little agency in this area</p> <p>There is a huge amount of embodied carbon in this plan- this needs quantifying and does not fit with agendas around carbon emissions reduction, climate change etc</p>
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	<p>Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations.</p> <p>Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda</p>
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-H 1 Scale Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	No building at all on green belt
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-G 1 Valuing Important Landscapes
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	<p>The fundamental aim of Green Belt policy is to prevent urban sprawl and keep land permanently open.</p> <p>This plan include huge building plans on greenbelt land.</p> <p>This is not what the local population wants.</p> <p>It is being forced on us- evidenced by the preparation of powers to enact CPOs and letters from TMBC legal team to land and home owners (section 16) asking for information within 2 week or face unlimited fines or prosecution. What sort of state are we living in here?</p> <p>TMBC first communicated with us by a letter arriving on Xmas Eve 2016, that our and neighbour"s houses would be in the middle of a "garden" village development at Godley Green. Our house was obliterated on their proposal with a "local centre" at the middle of a 2,350 housing development. We are now told we will be surrounded by building of the houses, new roads, schools and shops over a 15 year period. Any so called "consultation" has been TMBC telling us the plans, and no evidence of ANY changes they have made in response to the public outrage.</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

	<p>As well as directly effecting our home, this is a precious area of greenery for the local population of Hyde , many of whom have limited access to green space and feel little agency in this area</p> <p>There is a huge amount of embodied carbon in this plan- this needs quantifying and does not fit with agendas around carbon emissions reduction, climate change etc</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations.</p> <p>Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda</p>
<p>Family Name</p>	Burlinson
<p>Given Name</p>	Sarah
<p>Person ID</p>	1286261
<p>Title</p>	JP-G 2 Green Infrastructure Network
<p>Type</p>	Web
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	Unsound
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	Unsound
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	Unsound
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	Unsound
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	No
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	No
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	Tokenistic gestures in Tameside
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations.</p> <p>Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure.</p> <p>Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda</p>

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-G 7 Trees and Woodland
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	The proposed "Godley Green garden village" includes digging up existing fields, hedgerows and trees for roads and 2,350 house on greenbelt land! Stupidity from on high
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations. Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure. Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-G 8 Standards for Greener Places
Type	Web
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters	Please do not build on any existing greenbelt,....and add extra protections to preserve this 100% for future generations. Build on brown belt, develop more protected green areas and much better cycling infrastructure. Ask residents what they want- don't impose things including CPOs to meet your own (financial) agenda

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

you have identified above.	
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-G 9 A Net Enhancement of Biodiversity and Geodiversity
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	You are proposing actions which will wreck existing areas of biodiversity-leave these alone please as a first step
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Preserve, not destroy, existing biodiversity
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JP-G 10 Green Belt
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JPA 30: Ashton Moss West
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	Keep off this greenbelt. TMBC hosts the GMEU- so there is a vested interest in GMEC to be more favourable to the proposals relating to Tameside- they have to continue working together. IE the process lacks independence
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	Keep off our greenbelt
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JPA 31: Godley Green Garden Village
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	JPA 32: South of Hyde
Type	Web
Soundness - Positively prepared?	Unsound
Soundness - Justified?	Unsound
Soundness - Consistent with national policy?	Unsound
Soundness - Effective?	Unsound
Compliance - Legally compliant?	No
Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?	No
Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.	keep off our greenbelt
Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.	leave the greenbelt sacrosanct
Family Name	Burlinson
Given Name	Sarah
Person ID	1286261
Title	Tameside - Green Belt Additions
Type	Web

Places for Everyone Representation 2021

<p>GBA Tameside - Tick which Green Belt addition/s within this District your response relates to - then respond to the questions below</p>	<p>Tameside GBA40 Hyde Road, Mottram Tameside GBA41 Ashworth Lane, Mottram</p>
<p>Soundness - Positively prepared?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Justified?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Soundness - Effective?</p>	<p>Unsound</p>
<p>Compliance - Legally compliant?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</p>	<p>No</p>
<p>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</p>	<p>We already have some lovely green belt- first properly protect this...then add more</p>
<p>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above.</p>	<p>Hands off existing greenbelt</p>